

Providing tools for ethical character development

Tuning into Ethical Behavior

Story Discussion Guide for Therapists



© 2017, Darcia Narvaez, Version 1

Moral Psychology Laboratory, University of Notre Dame 118 Haggar Hall, Notre Dame IN 46556 dnarvaez@nd.edu; 574-631-7835

Discussion Questions to Extract the Ethical Aspects of Stories

These are based on the Rating Ethical Content Scale (RECS; Gomberg et al., 2005; Narvaez et al. 1999; 2004)

ETHICAL SENSITIVITY

Interpreting the situation according to who is involved, what actions to take and what possible reactions and outcomes might ensue; feeling the situation from another's point of view

- 1. Did the character care about other people's feelings? How or how not?
- 2. Did the character notice what other people's needs were? How or how not?
- 3. Did the character notice things that were wrong or that hurt other people? How or how not?
- 4. Did the character show his or her feelings in a way that didn't hurt other people or things? How or how not?
- 5. Did the character listen and took to heart what other people said? How or how not?
- 6. Did the character try to understand how other people thought about things? How or how not?
- 7. Did the character try to understand people who were different or from different cultures? How or how not?
- 8. Did the character act like a good friend? How or how not?

Other Sensitivity Questions:

What was the conflict?
What was the worst thing(s) the character faced?
How did the character feel about...?

ETHICAL REASONING

Reasoning about the possible actions in the situation and judging which action is most ethical

- 1. Did the character try to understand all parts of the problem before deciding what to do? How or how not?
- 2. Did the character think about how others might be upset by his or her choices, actions or decisions? How or how not?
- 3. Did the character think about doing the right thing? How or how not?
- 4. Did the character think about his or her decision afterwards? How or how not?
- 5. Did the character try to follow the rules? How or how not?
- 6. Was the character positive or optimistic about solving the problem? How or how not?
- 7. Did the character try to pick goals and things to do that help the community and show how to do the right thing? How or how not?
- 8. Did the character want things to be fair for everyone? How or how not?

Other Judgment Questions:

What else could have been done? What would happen if....?

ETHICAL FOCUS

Prioritizing the ethical action over other goals and needs

- 1. Did the character show good self control over his or her own behaviors? How or how not?
- 2. Did the character care about what other people thought was right? How or how not?
- 3. Did the character try to be the best he or she could be? How or how not?
- 4. Did the character think he or she really could solve the conflict or problem? How or how not?
- 5. Did the character follow through on promises and agreements? How or how not?
- 6. Did the character choose to do the wrong thing even though it was easier to do than the right thing? How or how not?
- 7. Did the character try to take care of other people first, before taking care of himself or herself? How or how not?
- 8. Did the character follow high standards for his or her own behavior? How or how not?

Other Focus Questions:

What did the character think about when making decisions and taking actions? Did the character show concern for the welfare of others? What virtues or ethical skills did the character show?

ETHICAL ACTION

Implementing the ethical action by knowing how to do so and following through no matter what

- 1. Did the character try to fix problems or conflicts without hurting other people, animals or things? How or how not?
- 2. Did the character speak up to help other people? How or how not?
- 3. Did the character try to help other people, animals, or things? How or how not?
- 4. Did the character try to change rules that were unfair? How or how not?
- 5. Did the character make a plan to solve a conflict or problem? How or how not?
- 6. Did the character when trying to solve a conflict or problem, overcome things that got in the way or changed the plan when it wasn't working? How or how not?
- 7. Did the character not give up on solving the conflict or problem when it was hard? How or how not?
- 8. Was the character brave when helping others or solving a problem or conflict? How or how not?

Other Action Questions:

How did the action affect the character and others in the story?

Thinking about Stories

	acters in the story. Then talk about your answer
SENSITIVITY	FOCUS
1. Cared about other people's feelings	1. Showed respect for others
2. Expressed concern or sympathy for others	2. Was courteous towards others
3. Managed anger without being destructive	3. Avoided violence
4. Expressed emotions appropriately	4. Showed good self control
5. Tried to understand other's points of view	5. Acted responsibly
6. Tried to understand another culture	6. Followed through on promises
7. Thought about what was fair for others	7. Was careful with resources
8. Showed care for others in actions	8. Acted like a good citizen
9. Acted as a good friend	9. Thought about how important it is to be mora
10. Worked well with people that were different	10. Thought about other people's social
11. Worked against prejudice	expectations
12. Noticed a moral problem	11. Followed cultural traditions
13. Thought about different ways to solve social	12. Cooperated with others
problems	13. Acted unselfishly
14. Communicated well so other people could	14. Found meaning in life 15. Resisted temptation
understand	15. Resisted temptation 16. Reached for his/her potential
15. Changed communication when it wasn't	10. Reactied for files/files potential
working16. Took to heart what others said	
10. Took to heart what others said	
HIDOMENIT	ACTION
JUDGMENT	1. Tried to resolve conflicts and problems
1. Tried to understand a problem before deciding	2. Solved personal conflicts in a peaceful way
_2. Gathered information before making a decision	3. Forgave others
3. Weighed all the options and their consequences	4. Noticed other people's needs
4. Considered rules when making a decision	5. Spoke up to help others
5. Planned how to carry out a decision	6. Mentored others
6. Considered many possible options	7. Planned for actions to help others
7. Considered the consequences of actions	8. Broke an action plan into steps
_8. Considered how others would react or be affected	9. Changed plan when it wasn't working
9. Made good decisions	10. Tried to help someone or keep them from
10. Reflected on a decision afterward	harm
11. Was concerned about justice for all	11. Overcame fear to help others
12. Followed the rules	12. Showed bravery in helping others
13. Tried to change unfair rules	13. Stood up against peer pressure
14. Chose good things to do and places to be	14. Was persistent even when there were obstacles
15. Tried to pick good goals	15. Tried new ways to solve problems when old
16. Was optimistic about solving a problem	ways didn't work
	16. Worked hard

References

- Gomberg, A., Zadzora, K., Walter, R., & Narvaez, D. (November, 2005). *How good are children at rating the ethical content of moral stories?* Association for Moral Education, Cambridge, MA.
- Narvaez, D. (1999). Using discourse processing methods to study moral thinking. *Educational Psychology Review*, 11 (4),377-394.
- Narvaez, D. (2001). Moral text comprehension: Implications for education and research. *Journal of Moral Education*, 30 (1),43-54.
- Narvaez, D. (2002). Individual differences that influence reading comprehension. In M. Pressley & C. C. Block (Eds.), *Reading Comprehension Instruction* (pp. 158-175). New York: Guilford.
- Narvaez, D. (2002). Does reading moral stories build character? *Educational Psychology Review 14*(2), 155-17.
- Narvaez, D., Bentley, J., Gleason, T., Samuels, J. (1998). Moral theme comprehension in third grade, fifth grade and college students. *Reading Psychology*, 19(2), 217-241.
- Narvaez, D., Endicott, L., Bock, T., Mitchell, C., & Kang, Y. (November, 1999). *Children and Parents rate the moral content in stories*. Annual meeting of the Association for Moral Education, Minneapolis.
- Narvaez, D., Gleason, T., Mitchell, C. & Bentley, J. (1999). Moral theme comprehension in children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91(3), 477-487.
- Narvaez, D., Gomberg, A., Matthews, A., (November, 2004). Symposium on Media and Moral Development: Rating Children's Media with the Rating Ethical Content Scale (RECS). Annual meeting of the Association for Moral Education, Dana Point, CA.
- Narvaez, D., van den Broek, P., and Ruiz, A. (1999). Reading purpose, type of text and their influence on think-aloud and comprehension measures. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91(3), 488-496.
- Orlova, D., Gomberg, A., Squillace, M., & Narvaez, D. (November, 2005). *Does medium matter?* comparing ethical content ratings of text and video versions of stories. Association for Moral Education, Cambridge, MA.
- Williams, J.P. (1993). Comprehension of students with and without learning disabilities: Identification of narrative themes and idiosyncratic text representations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 631-641.
- Williams, J.P., Brown, L.G., Silverstein, A.K., & De Cani, J. (1994). An instructional program in comprehension of narrative themes for adolescents with learning disabilities. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 17, 205-221.